

# **Modern In Situ TEM Ion Irradiations with Real-Time AI-Powered Data Analysis**

## Kevin G. Field<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109 <sup>2</sup> Theia Scientific, LLC, Arlington, VA 22201 \* Corresponding author: kgfield@umich.edu

**MICHIGAN ENGINEERING MICHIGAN ION BEAM LABORATORY** 



## **MIBL:** Michigan Ion Beam Laboratory

MIBL Manager

Kevin G. Field MIBL Director

In 2022, ~192 irradiations were conducted by 113 researchers working on 45 projects and using Zhijie (George) Jiao Kevin G. Field ~5,713 hr of beam time.

# **MIBL:** Michigan Ion Beam Laboratory



# Cut-through of most "modern" in situ TEM ion irradiation configurations



Rad. Eff. **22** (1974) p163

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

# MiTEM is capable of in-situ single and dual beam TEM ion irradiations



#### **Ion Beam Systems --- Two beam lines linked to:**

- A 400kV NEC accelerator equipped with a Danfysik Model 921 ion source can provide a wide range of ions (H<sup>+</sup> to Au) ions with energy 20 keV ~1.6 MeV
- An Alphatross NEC ion source can deliver H and He ions in the energy range 5~30 keV
- Dual beam irradiation capability
- Remote control



# MiTEM is unique in the US as it is AI/ML enabled with in-situ S/TEM capabilities including EELS/EFTEM



#### **TEM Specs---**TF30 TEM/**STEM**

- **Gun**: Thermo-FEG, HT: 100, 200 and 300keV
- **Operation modes**: CTEM: 0.1 nm lattice resolution and STEM: 0.34 nm point-to-point resolution
- **Cameras**: 2Kx2K UltraScan Pre-GIF CCD and 2Kx2K GIF CCD
- **Detectors**: HAADF, ADF and BF
- **EDS**: EDAX Appolo 30mm<sup>2</sup> SDD
- **GIF**: Gatan Continuum ER GIF---Dual EELS and STEEM SI and EFTEM SI
- **Holders**: TF low dose DT  $(\alpha \sim \pm 70^{\circ}, \beta \sim \pm 30^{\circ})$ , DENS Wildfire DT and Modified Gatan 952 DT ( $\alpha \sim \pm 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta \sim \pm 25^{\circ}$ ) Heating holders and two home made Faraday Cap holders and a modified Gatan 952 DT heating holder.
- **Remote control**
- **AI/ML Enabled**



# MiTEM is unique in the US as it is AI/ML enabled with in-situ S/TEM capabilities including EELS/EFTEM



#### **TEM Specs---**TF30 TEM/**STEM**

- **Gun**: Thermo-FEG, HT: 100, 200 and 300keV
- **Operation modes**: CTEM: 0.1 nm lattice resolution and STEM: 0.34 nm point-to-point resolution
- **Cameras**: 2Kx2K UltraScan Pre-GIF CCD and 2Kx2K GIF CCD
- **Detectors**: HAADF, ADF and BF
- **EDS**: EDAX Appolo 30mm<sup>2</sup> SDD
- **GIF**: Gatan Continuum ER GIF---Dual EELS and STEEM SI and EFTEM SI
- **Holders**: TF low dose DT  $(\alpha \sim \pm 70^{\circ}, \beta \sim \pm 30^{\circ})$ , DENS Wildfire DT and Modified Gatan 952 DT ( $\alpha \sim \pm 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta \sim \pm 25^{\circ}$ ) Heating holders and two home made Faraday Cap holders and a modified Gatan 952 DT heating holder.
- **Remote control**
- **AI/ML Enabled**



#### MiTEM users have access to both *traditional heating holders* and a *MEMS*  **based heating** holder to cover a wide range of irradiation temperatures and rates

A modified Gatan 952 Double-tilt Heating Holder



A modified DENSsolutions Double-tilt Heating Holder



Ion beam-side of the DENS holder



- **Temperature** ~1000°C; Good for  $<$ 500 °C
- Tilt angle range:  $\alpha = \pm 30^{\circ}$ ;  $\beta = \pm 25^{\circ}$

- Temperature ~1300°C, Good for > 450 °C
- Tilt angle range:  $\alpha = \pm 30^{\circ}$ ;  $\beta = \pm 25^{\circ}$



MiTEM users have access to Gatan Continuum Imaging Filter (GIF) to perform high resolution *chemical imaging* when performing *in-situ TEM ion irradiations*



Gatan Continuum ER GIF:

- EF- BF, HREM and Electron diffraction patterns
- EELS, EFTEM and EFTEM SI
- STEM SI combined with the attached ADF detector





#### Microstructure Evolution of Nano-oxides in an ODS alloy – Example of *In-Situ EFTEM* for Chemical Mapping













#### **In-situ TEM irradiation of a FeCrAl alloy in MIBL-(MC)<sup>2</sup>** Results courtesy of K. Sun and H. Li (UM)

# **Early Dislocation Loop Formation in FeCrAl Alloys**



2-Beam BF images showing the elimination of FIB damage then generation of radiation induced defect clusters in a model FeCrAl alloy irradiated by 1.2 MeV Kr ions at 320 °C with a damage level of (a) 0, (b) 1, (c) 2, and (d) 3 dpa, respectively.





Determination of critical dose to visible dislocation loop nucleation in the model FeCrAl using ML-based automatedanalysis

10:25 am in Blue Spring I!



# **BUT! What model should I use for my experiment?!**



Materials swelling revealed through automated semantic segmentation of cavities in electron microscopy images

Ryan Jacobs<sup>I</sup>, Priyam Patki, Matthew J. Lynch, Steven Chen, Dane Morgan & Kevin G. Field

Scientific Reports 13, Article number: 5178 (2023) Cite this article

1285 Accesses | 4 Citations | Metrics



A deep learning model for automatic analysis of cavities in irradiated materials

Oinyun Chen <sup>a</sup> ×, Chaohui Zheng <sup>b</sup>, Yue Cui <sup>b</sup>, Yan-Ru Lin <sup>c</sup>, Steven J. Zinkle <sup>a c</sup>

Show more  $\vee$ 

+ Add to Mendeley  $\alpha_0^0$  Share 55 Cite

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2023.112073 7

Article | Open access | Published: 04 September 2019 Deep Learning for Semantic Segmentation of Defects in **Advanced STEM Images of Steels** 

Graham Roberts, Simon Y. Haile, Rajat Sainju, Danny J. Edwards, Brian Hutchinson & Yuanyuan Zhu<sup>123</sup>

Scientific Reports 9, Article number: 12744 (2019) Cite this article

13k Accesses | 101 Citations | 1 Altmetric | Metrics

A wide range of different ML techniques being developed for the same features

Get rights and content 7



Just run *all* the models!



\*C.R. Field & K.G. Field, U.S. Patent Application No. 17/718,805



<1 hr from experiment to summary for paper!

6 + - 8 0 + - """"



Fig X: Microstructural evolution of a 100 appm He pre-implanted 316L sample during a 1 hour in-situ TEM anneal at 500°C showing the evolution of (a) average cavity diameter – in blue, (b) cavity count – in red, and corresponding (b-e) micrographs during the anneal where the colored polygons show the realtime cavity detections using a machine learning model [ref].

Over the past six months, the University of Michigan has performed ex-situ Helium implantations to 100, 1000, and 2000 appm Helium at 100°C into target materials including 316L stainless steels (for all conditions) and Inconel X-750 (for the 2000 appm Helium condition only). All irradiations were performed using 3.42 MeV He<sup>2+</sup> through a rotating 6.20  $\mu$ m Al foil to promote a uniform appm Helium concentration profile across the entire implantation depth which exceeds  $1 \mu m$ . All irradiations maintained a 2o temperature deviation less than 5°C. Preliminary transmission electron microscopy (TEM) investigation of the overall microstructures in the 100 appm Helium condition showed no observable cavities in the implanted regime for the as-implanted condition of 316L. With increasing implantation to 1000 appm Helium, small  $(\leq 5 \text{ nm})$  cavities were detected in the implanted region using bright field TEM imaging in the under focused condition for the 316L material. Characterization of the 2000 appm Helium condition are on-going and will be reported later.

In-situ TEM annealing of the 100 appm Helium condition of the 316L sample has been performed with preliminary results shown in Fig. X. The TEM lamella was isothermally annealed at  $500^{\circ}$ C using a Gatan 652 holder for 1 hour on a Thermo Fisher Tecnai  $G<sup>2</sup> F30 TWIN TEM$  and continuously imaged in the underfocused BF-TEM condition at 1 frame per second (fps). During annealing+imaging, a Theiascope-X<sup>TM</sup> (Version 6.3.0) running the Jacobs et al. [1] machine learning model for cavity detection and quantification was run in real-time, with the quantitative results shown in Fig. Xa and segmentation overlays shown in Fig Xb-e. The results show that cavities nucleation or growth above the detection limit of the TEM did not occur for the first 27 minutes of the in-situ TEM annealing experiment. The initial scatter in Fig Xa is the result of the ML model having low-confidence predictions – additional, post processing of the data will remove these erroneous results. After 27 minutes, cavity formation was observed intra- and inter- to the grain boundaries (Fig. Xa,b) with significant increase in the number of cavities and cavity size occurring through 50 minutes into the in-situ TEM anneal (Fig. Xa,c-d). Past 50 minutes, no significant new cavity formation was observed or quantified via the Theiascope-X<sup>™</sup> and the material transitioned to a steady state coarsening regime (Fig. Xa,e). Results also showed that intragranular cavities coarsened more significantly compared to intergranular cavities suggesting a strong impact of grain boundary characteristics on the cavity nucleation and growth kinetics in the 316L implanted sample. Further analysis is on-going with additional in-situ TEM annealing studies planned for the 1000 and 2000 appm Helium implanted samples.

# Take aways

- MIBL & DOE-NE/NSUF have placed significant investments to form MiTEM, a modern, state-of-the-art in-situ TEM ion irradiation facility
- Key differentiators for MiTEM:
	- Low cost- and hassle-free access for users
	- Remote operation simplifies user experiences
	- S/TEM capable microscope means both TEM and STEM-based experiments can be performed
	- Multiple stages enable a wide range of temperatures and heating/cooling rates
	- Gatan Imaging Filtering enables in-situ chemical mapping (GSI-21-25126)
	- Theiascope-X™ enables the most advanced real-time quantification system for nuclear materials





# $\bigcirc$  $\bigcirc$

# **Thanks!**

NOMEIab MI I MICHIGAN ENGINEERING